

ASSEMBLY THIRTY-NINTH SESSION

Montréal, 27 September – 7 October 2016

LEGAL COMMISSION

REPORT

Approved by the Plenary of the Assembly and published by authority of the Secretary General



ASSEMBLY THIRTY-NINTH SESSION

Montréal, 27 September – 7 October 2016

LEGAL COMMISSION

REPORT

Approved by the Plenary of the Assembly and published by authority of the Secretary General

Published in separate English, Arabic, Chinese, French, Russian and Spanish editions by the INTERNATIONAL CIVIL AVIATION ORGANIZATION
999 Robert-Bourassa Boulevard, Montréal, Quebec, Canada H3C 5H7

For ordering information and for a complete listing of sales agents and booksellers, please go to the ICAO website at www.icao.int

Doc 10081, Report of the Legal Commission

Order Number: 10081 ISBN 978-92-9258-267-8

© ICAO 2017

All rights reserved. No part of this publication may be reproduced, stored in a retrieval system or transmitted in any form or by any means, without prior permission in writing from the International Civil Aviation Organization.

ASSEMBLY - 39TH SESSION

MONTRÉAL, 27 SEPTEMBER TO 7 OCTOBER 2016

REPORT OF THE LEGAL COMMISSION

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
General		1
Agenda and Working A	rrangements	1
Agenda Item 44:	Annual Reports of the Council to the Assembly for 2013, 2014 and 2015	2
Agenda Item 45:	Work Programme of the Organization in the legal field	2
Agenda Item 46:	Consolidated statement of continuing ICAO policies in the legal field	8
Agenda Item 47:	Other issues to be considered by the Legal Commission	14
Resolution 45/1: (Renumbered A39-8)	Conflicts of interest in civil aviation	3
Resolution 45/2: (Renumbered A39-9)	Promotion of the Montréal Convention of 1999	5
Resolution 45/3: (Renumbered A39-10)	Promotion of the Beijing Convention and the Beijing Protocol of 2010	6
Resolution 46/1: (Renumbered A39-11)	Consolidated Statement of Continuing ICAO Policies in the Legal Field	8
Appendix - List of Worl	king Papers Considered by the Legal Commission	18

REPORT OF THE LEGAL COMMISSION TO THE ASSEMBLY

General

- 1. The Legal Commission held three meetings between 28 September and 3 October 2016. Mr. A. Quaranta (Italy) was elected by the Plenary as Chairperson of the Commission.
- 2. At its first meeting, the Commission elected Mr. Abdulrahman Hassan Shiekh (Saudi Arabia) and Mr. Chukwuma Dubem (Nigeria) as its First and Second Vice-Chairpersons respectively.
- 3. The three meetings of the Commission were held in open session.
- 4. Representatives from 119 Member States and 12 observer delegations attended one or more meetings of the Commission.
- 5. The Secretary of the Commission was Mr. J. V. Augustin, Director of the Legal Affairs and External Relations Bureau and was represented in his absences by Dr. J. Huang, Senior Legal Officer and Deputy Secretary. Mr. A. Jakob, Senior Legal Officer, was also Deputy Secretary. The Assistant Secretaries were Messrs. C. Petras and A. Opolot, and Ms. M. Weinstein, Legal Officers.

Agenda and Working Arrangements

- 6. Agenda items 44, 45, 46 and 47 referred to the Commission by the Plenary were considered, as follows:
 - Item 44: Annual Reports of the Council to the Assembly for 2013, 2014 and 2015
 - Item 45: Work Programme of the Organization in the legal field
 - Item 46: Consolidated statement of continuing ICAO policies in the legal field
 - Item 47: Other issues to be considered by the Legal Commission.
- 6.1 The documents and working papers considered by the Commission are listed by agenda items in the Appendix to the Report.
- 6.2 The action by the Commission in respect of each item is reported on separately in the paragraphs which follow. The material is arranged according to the numerical sequence of the agenda items considered by the Commission.

Agenda Item 44: Annual Reports of the Council to the Assembly for 2013, 2014 and 2015

44:1 The Commission noted the chapters of the Annual Reports of the Council to the Assembly for the years 2013, 2014 and 2015 as well as the Supplement for the first half of the year 2016 which had been referred to it by the Plenary.

Agenda Item 45: Work Programme of the Organization in the legal field

- The Commission considered this item on the basis of A39-WP/12, presented by the Council, A39-WP/77 (Revision No. 1), presented by Canada, Mexico, Nigeria and the United States; A39-WP/100, presented by the United States; A39-WP/101, presented by the United States; A39-WP/228, presented by the Republic of Korea; and A39-WP/375, presented by Egypt. The Commission noted Information Paper A39-WP/422, presented by Brazil, Canada, Ireland, Luxembourg, Norway, Singapore, Spain, United Kingdom and the United States.
- A39-WP/12 provided information to the Assembly regarding the on-going work of the Organization in the legal field and an overview of the developments and relevant decisions taken by the Council and the Legal Committee since the previous session of the Assembly in relation to the items on the Work Programme of the Legal Committee, including the prioritization of items. Information on legal work in certain additional areas, namely, international interests in mobile equipment (aircraft equipment) and review of the application of ICAO treaties relating to conflict zones was also presented to the Commission.
- With respect to "Study of legal issues relating to remotely piloted aircraft", it was noted that consistent with the conclusions of the 36th Session of the Legal Committee (Montréal, 30 November to 3 December 2015) and the decision taken by the Council during the 7th Meeting of its 207th Session, the ICAO Legal Affairs and External Relations Bureau had on 29 August 2016 initiated a survey as a means of gathering information on national RPAS legislation for comparative purposes, and as a means to identify international legal issues that RPAS integration was presenting for States, in order to identify legal aspects of RPAS operations that still might need to be addressed. It was further noted that States have been asked to submit their responses to the survey by 31 October 2016. Substantive issues related to RPAS are taken up below, under Item 47.
- Concerning the item "Consideration of Guidance on Conflicts of Interest", the delegate of Canada, on behalf of the co-sponsors, presented A39-WP/77 Revision No.1, highlighting that conflicts of interest may hamper effective, independent and impartial regulation of civil aviation and thereby pose risks to the safety and security of international civil aviation; and calling for the adoption of an Assembly resolution to promote awareness of potential conflicts of interest in civil aviation as well as the need to take measures to avoid or mitigate risks from conflicts of interest to aviation safety and security. He drew the attention of the Commission to the associated financial implications of future work under this item, as reflected in the Executive Summary of the working paper, that the activities referred to in the Resolution would be undertaken subject to the resources available in the 2017-19 Regular Programme Budget and/or from extra budgetary contributions.
- 45:5 All delegations who took the floor expressed support for the working paper and the resolution. One delegation, noting that *Annex 19 Safety Management* contained provisions calling for the establishment of guidance for the avoidance of conflicts of interest in the performance of safety

oversight functions, suggested that reference be made to Annex 19 in the resolution. Several delegations highlighted the need to take into account the level of development and resources available to States in prescribing measures to deal with conflicts of interest and called for a balance to be drawn in that regard between the particular circumstances of States and the risks posed by conflicts of interest. One observer noted that regard should be had to the issue of delegated authority, where a private person exercises regulatory functions on behalf of a regulator under a structured scheme. These schemes, in the industry's view, offer important efficiency and collaboration benefits and should be recognized, and provided for, in any conflict of interest management framework.

45:6 The Commission acknowledged that it would be appropriate to include supplementary text in the resolution to address the additional clarifications and proposals made by delegations.

The Commission then agreed to recommend to the Plenary the adoption of the following resolution:

Resolution 45/1: Conflicts of interest in civil aviation

Recognizing that conflicts of interest may hamper effective, independent and impartial safety regulation of civil aviation and thereby pose risks to the safety and security of international civil aviation;

Acknowledging the need for and the benefits of drawing upon the experience and expertise of qualified industry personnel to help ensure that important regulatory oversight functions can be provided;

Recalling that the item "Consideration of Guidance on Conflicts of Interest" was added to the General Work Programme of the Legal Committee by the 37th Session of the Assembly and subsequently was endorsed by the Legal Committee, the Council and the 38th Session of the Assembly, which elevated its priority;

Aware that under the United Nations Convention Against Corruption adopted by the General Assembly on 31 October 2003, States have the obligation to endeavour to adopt, maintain and strengthen systems that promote transparency and prevent conflicts of interest;

Considering that Annex 19 – Safety Management and ICAO guidance material identify the need for States *inter alia* to establish a strategy to mitigate potential issues arising from conflicts of interest in civil aviation; and

Convinced of the need for States to share information concerning policies and measures used to detect, avoid, mitigate and manage conflicts of interest in civil aviation;

The Assembly:

1. *Urges* States which have not done so to consider establishing a formal legal framework to detect, avoid, mitigate and manage conflicts of interest in civil aviation;

2. *Invites* States:

a) to examine at the national level the adequacy of their domestic legal regimes on measures and practices to detect, avoid, mitigate and manage conflicts of interest in civil aviation, with a view to ensuring and improving transparency and accountability in civil aviation regulatory activities and to balancing their particular circumstances and ability to fulfil their oversight obligations with addressing the risks to aviation safety and security posed by conflicts of interest; and

- b) where necessary, to enact legislation and establish systems, codes and practices which promote the awareness of potential conflicts of interest in civil aviation;
- 3. *Urges* States to ensure the enforcement of rules and measures to detect, avoid, mitigate and manage conflicts of interest relating to safety oversight in civil aviation;
- 4. *Mandates* the Council to facilitate the collection, analysis, dissemination and promotion of best practices addressing conflicts of interest for the benefit of Member States, taking advantage of existing expertise in the States and within the United Nations and other relevant international organizations;
- 5. Requests the Secretary General to continue to collect information from States and relevant intergovernmental organizations, concerning policies and measures used to detect, avoid, mitigate and manage conflicts of interest in civil aviation, in order to advance the study of this issue;
- 6. *Requests* the Secretary General to develop a reference document identifying all provisions in the Annexes and manuals relating to conflicts of interest;
- 7. *Mandates* the Legal Committee to keep the issue of conflicts of interests in civil aviation under regular review; and
- 8. *Calls upon* States to extend to the Secretary General their full support and assistance in the implementation of the present resolution, including the provision of expertise and information.
- With respect to the subject "Acts or offences of concern to the international aviation community and not covered by existing air law instruments", the Commission noted with satisfaction that further to the adoption of the *Protocol to Amend the Convention on Offences and Certain Other Acts Committed on Board Aircraft*, the Task Force on Legal Aspects of Unruly Passengers was making progress in updating ICAO Circular 288 (*Guidance Material on the Legal Aspects of Unruly/Disruptive Passengers*). It also noted the report in A39-WP/12 on the items "Consideration, with regard to CNS/ATM systems including global navigation satellite systems (GNSS) and the regional multinational organisms, of the establishment of a legal framework", "Determination of the status of an aircraft civil/State aircraft", and "Safety aspects of economic liberalization and Article 83 *bis*".
- Concerning the "Promotion of the ratification of international air law instruments", in its presentation of A39-WP/100, the delegate of the United States asked that a greater effort be made to urge ICAO Member States which have not done so to ratify the Montréal Convention of 1999. He recalled some of the major provisions and innovations that are contained in the Convention, to wit: (1) it does away with the prior regime's low levels of recovery in cases of a passenger's injury or death by establishing a first tier of strict liability, with recovery of proven damages beyond that limit (currently set at 113,100 Special Drawing Rights) unless the air carrier can show that it was not at fault or if it can prove that a third party was at fault; (2) the Convention ensures that passenger recoveries are not unreasonably high by not allowing the imposition of punitive, exemplary or other types of non-compensatory damages; (3) the Convention allows a plaintiff to bring an action in his or her home country, a benefit often prevented under the previously applicable rules of the Warsaw Convention and the Hague Protocol; (4) the Convention establishes a clear allocation of liability in code share situations; and (5) the Convention accommodates an efficient document system with respect to the carriage of cargo. The delegate emphasized the need to do away with the confusing patchwork of parallel liability regimes in order to create a uniform framework with legal certainty. In light of the above, he invited the Commission to adopt the draft resolution contained in A39-WP/100.

In the ensuing consideration of this matter, all delegations and one observer expressed strong support for both the working paper and the draft resolution. One of these delegations highlighted the positive influence of the Montréal Convention of 1999 on the element of assistance to relatives of victims of aircraft accidents. In his summary on this point, the Chairperson noted the wide support for the proposal contained in the working paper and for the draft resolution. The Commission agreed to amend the third preambular paragraph of the draft Resolution contained in A39-WP/100 by substituting the words "that allows full" with "for". In doing so, the Commission agreed to depart from the formulation in the fourth preambular paragraph of A38-20, to take into account that a claim relating to cargo is subject to a limit. The Commission recommended the following resolution for adoption by the Plenary:

Resolution 45/2: Promotion of the Montréal Convention of 1999

Recalling its Resolution A37-22, Appendix C, relating to the ratification of instruments which have been developed and adopted under the auspices of the Organization, and Resolution A38-20, Promotion of the Montréal Convention of 1999;

Recognizing the importance of achieving a universal regime to govern airline liability to passengers and shippers on international flights;

Recognizing the desirability of an equitable, fair and convenient system for compensation for losses:

The Assembly:

- 1. *Urges* all Contracting States to support and encourage the universal adherence to the Convention for the Unification of Certain Rules for International Carriage by Air, done at Montréal on 28 May 1999 (Montréal Convention of 1999);
- 2. *Urges* all Contracting States that have not done so to become Parties to the Montréal Convention of 1999 as soon as possible; and
- 3. *Directs* the Secretary General to provide assistance, as appropriate, with the ratification process if so requested by a Contracting State.
 - 4. Declares that this resolution supersedes Resolution A38-20.
- The United States further presented A39-WP/101, which recalled that the 37th Assembly, in Resolution A37-23, urged States to ratify the Beijing Convention and Beijing Protocol of 2010. Underlining the importance of these two instruments, which had broadened and strengthened the global civil aviation counter-terrorism framework, the delegation expressed the belief that the universal adoption of these instruments would significantly advance cooperation in the prevention of the full range of unlawful acts relating to civil aviation and the prosecution and punishment of offenders. Accordingly, the United States proposed that a new resolution be adopted to promote the ratification of these instruments.
- 45:11 Many delegations supported the draft Assembly Resolution presented in A39-WP/101. One delegation mentioned that its region had indicated strong support for the promotion of the Beijing instruments, and its national legislative authority was in the final stage of completing the process of ratification. Other delegations also mentioned similar progress in their respective States. Some delegations mentioned that their States had promptly ratified the two instruments and encouraged others to do the same.

45:12 Upon the suggestion by the Secretariat, the Commission agreed to fine-tune the draft resolution, and to mention that this new resolution would supersede the previous one. The revised text of the draft resolution is as follows:

Resolution 45/3: Promotion of the Beijing Convention and the Beijing Protocol of 2010

Recalling its Resolution A38-19 entitled Promotion of the Beijing Convention and the Beijing Protocol of 2010;

Recalling further its Resolution A37-22, Appendix C, relating to the ratification of instruments which have been developed and adopted under the auspices of the Organization; and

Recognizing the importance of broadening and strengthening the global aviation security regime to meet new and emerging threats;

The Assembly:

- 1. Urges all States to support and encourage the universal adoption of the Convention on the Suppression of Unlawful Acts Relating to International Civil Aviation (Beijing Convention of 2010) and the Protocol Supplementary to the Convention for the Suppression of Unlawful Seizure of Aircraft (Beijing Protocol of 2010);
- 2. *Urges* all States to sign and ratify the Beijing Convention and Beijing Protocol of 2010 as soon as possible;
- 3. *Directs* the Secretary General to provide assistance, as appropriate, with the ratification process if so requested by a State; and
 - 4. *Declares* that this resolution supersedes Resolution A38-19.
- 45:13 Additional discussion concerning the ratification of international air law instruments was undertaken under Agenda Item 47.
- 45:14 A39-WP/228, containing an offer by the Republic of Korea to host a Regional Legal Seminar in 2018 to address legal matters of interest in the Asia-Pacific Region, was noted by the Commission with appreciation.
- In the context of the international interests in mobile equipment (aircraft equipment), Egypt presented A39-WP/375, proposing that ICAO establish a Committee of Experts or a Working Group affiliated with the Commission of Experts of the Supervisory Authority of the International Registry (CESAIR) to assist developing countries with the implementation of the *Convention on International Interests in Mobile Equipment* (Cape Town Convention) and the *Protocol to the Convention on International Interests in Mobile Equipment on Matters specific to Aircraft Equipment* (Cape Town Protocol), both signed at Cape Town on 16 November 2001. The delegation based this proposal on Resolution No. 4 of the Diplomatic Conference of 2001. In referring to the problems of implementation for developing States outlined at paragraphs 2.2 to 2.4 of the working paper, the delegation considered it imperative to benefit from the experience of developed States that have implemented the Cape Town instruments, and opined that the establishment of a Committee or Working Group would be the appropriate mechanism in this regard.

- One delegation, supported by two others, did not support the establishment of a Committee or Working Group, but rather suggested that assistance with the implementation of the Cape Town instruments could more appropriately come from developed States, and from industry, and cited the Aviation Working Group (AWG) as very active in this area. This delegate, in his capacity as a member of CESAIR, also noted that Resolution No. 4 was limited to matters only related to the International Registry. One of the supporting delegations referred to A39-WP/422 (Information paper) which outlined the advantages associated with the ratification of the Cape Town instruments, and appreciated the complexity involved in their ratification and implementation, but further stressed that States and industry were better positioned to assist developing States. One observer, in supporting the position expressed by the three delegations, noted that the issues identified by the Egyptian delegation dealt with fundamental policy choices made by a State, core questions of property law, secured transactions, etc. and were outside the competence and expertise of CESAIR. One delegation, in supporting the working paper, stated that provision of developed States' expertise did not preclude assistance by ICAO. Another observer suggested that ICAO act as a clearing house by brokering expertise for interested States.
- The Secretariat expressed appreciation for the difficulty faced by developing States with regard to the complexity of the Cape Town instruments. However, given the highly specialized area, it would appear that developed States with experience were in a better position to assist, although this issue could be re-visited in the future.
- 45:18 The Chairperson of the Commission concluded the discussion on this working paper by citing merit in the proposal, while recognizing other more appropriate avenues of assistance.
- 45:19 The Commission noted A39-WP/422 (Information paper) presented by Brazil, Canada, Ireland, Luxembourg, Norway, Singapore, Spain, United Kingdom and the United States.
- With respect to the subject "Review of the Application of ICAO Treaties relating to Conflict Zones", an observer mentioned that ICAO deserved credit for the important work achieved in this area, including the creation of a Task Force, following the downing of an aircraft in the Ukraine. However, it questioned the absence of this subject in the General Work Programme of the Legal Committee. It was recalled that in a similar event, namely the shoot-down of KAL 007 in 1983, ICAO acted swiftly to amend the Chicago Convention in the form of Article 3 *bis*. To this end, this observer was of the view that further consideration should be given to include this subject in the General Work Programme of the Legal Committee. The Commission noted this comment.
- The Commission subsequently agreed on the General Work Programme of the Legal Committee as set out in paragraph 47:9.

Agenda Item 46: Consolidated statement of continuing ICAO policies in the legal field

- The Commission considered this item on the basis of A39-WP/57 presented by the Council.
- The Secretariat highlighted, in conjunction with paragraph 45:7 above, that the working paper had been prepared to address certain consequential changes to the Assembly Resolution following the adoption of the Montréal Protocol of 2014, and the ensuing work of the Task Force to update guidance material on legal aspects of unruly passengers that impacts Appendix E of the resolution. Additional changes were included in the Assembly Resolution in light of anticipated amendments to increase the size of the Council and the Air Navigation Commission that had been presented to this session of the Assembly for adoption.
- 46:3 The Commission accepted the proposal made by one delegation to reflect those amendments in the sixth preambular clause in Appendix C to the Assembly Resolution containing references to other amendments to the Chicago Convention that had not yet come into force, instead of in the seventh preambular clause.
- The Commission then agreed to recommend to the Plenary the adoption of the following resolution:

Resolution 46/1: Consolidated Statement of Continuing ICAO Policies in the Legal Field

Whereas it is considered desirable to consolidate Assembly resolutions on the Organization's policies in the legal field in order to facilitate their implementation and practical application by making their texts more readily available, understandable and logically organized:

The Assembly:

- 1. Resolves that the Appendices attached to this resolution constitute the consolidated statement of continuing ICAO policies in the legal field, up to date as these policies exist at the close of the 39th Session of the Assembly;
- 2. Resolves to continue to adopt at each ordinary session a consolidated statement of continuing ICAO policies in the legal field; and
 - 3. *Declares* that this resolution supersedes Resolution A37-22.

APPENDIX A

General Policy

Whereas international civil aviation can greatly help to create and preserve friendship and understanding among the nations and peoples of the world, yet its abuse can become a threat to the general security; and

Whereas it is desirable to avoid friction and to promote that cooperation between nations and peoples upon which the peace of the world depends:

The Assembly:

Reaffirms the important role of law in the avoidance and resolution of conflicts and disputes among the nations and peoples of the world and, in particular, in the achievement by the Organization of its aims and objectives.

APPENDIX B

Procedure for approval of draft conventions on international air law

The Assembly resolves:

That the following constitutes the procedure for the approval of draft conventions:

- 1. Any draft convention which the Legal Committee considers as ready for presentation to the States as a final draft shall be transmitted to the Council, together with a report thereon.
- 2. The Council may take such action as it deems fit, including the circulation of the draft to the Contracting States and to such other States and International Organizations as it may determine.
- 3. In circulating the draft convention, the Council may add comments and afford States and organizations an opportunity to submit comments to the Organization within a period of not less than four months.
- 4. Such draft convention shall be considered, with a view to its approval, by a conference which may be convened in conjunction with a session of the Assembly. The opening date of the conference shall be not less than six months after the date of transmission of the draft as provided in paragraphs 2 and 3 above. The Council may invite to such a conference any non-Contracting State whose participation it considers desirable, and shall decide whether such participation carries the right to vote. The Council may also invite international organizations to be represented at the conference by observers.

APPENDIX C

Ratification of ICAO international instruments

The Assembly:

Recalling its Resolution A37-22, Appendix C relating to the ratification of the Protocols of Amendment to the Chicago Convention and of the private air law and other instruments which have been developed and adopted under the auspices of the Organization;

Noting with concern the continuing slow progress of ratification of the aforementioned Protocols of Amendment, including in particular those introducing Articles 3 bis and 83 bis to the Chicago Convention, and the final paragraphs (relating to the Arabic and Chinese texts);

Recognizing the importance of these amendments to international civil aviation, in particular to the viability of the Chicago Convention, and the consequent urgent need to accelerate the entry into force of these amendments not yet in force;

Recognizing the need to accelerate the ratification and entry into force of air law instruments developed and adopted under the auspices of the Organization;

Conscious of the fact that only a universal participation in these Protocols of Amendment and other instruments would secure and enhance the benefits of unification of the international rules which they embody:

Urges all Contracting States which so far have not done so to ratify those Amendments to the Chicago Convention which are not yet in force, i.e. those amending the final paragraph to add Arabic and Chinese to the authentic texts of the Convention, and those amendments to Articles 50 (a) and 56 adopted in 2016, as soon as possible;

Urges all Contracting States which have not yet done so to ratify the Protocols introducing Articles 3 *bis* and 83 *bis* of the Chicago Convention;

Urges all Contracting States which so far have not done so to ratify the other international air law instruments, in particular the Montréal Convention of 1999, the Cape Town Convention and Aircraft Protocol of 2001, the two Montréal Conventions of 2 May 2009, the Beijing Convention and the Beijing Protocol of 2010 and the Montréal Protocol of 2014, as soon as possible;

Urges States which have ratified the instruments in question to provide to the Secretary General copies of the text and documents they have used in the process of ratification and implementation of such instruments and which may serve as an example assisting other States in the same process; and

Directs the Secretary General to take all practical measures within the Organization's means in cooperation with States to provide assistance, if requested, to States encountering difficulties in the process of ratification and implementation of the air law instruments, including the organization of and the participation in workshops or seminars to further the process of ratification of the international air law instruments.

APPENDIX D

The teaching of air law

The Assembly, considering the undoubted importance for the Organization and the States of the specialized teaching of air law and the desirability of fostering knowledge of this important subject:

Invites the Council to take all possible action to promote the teaching of air law in those States where it is not yet available;

Urges the States to adopt appropriate measures which would further the achievement of the above objective; and

Calls upon Contracting States and interested parties to contribute to the Assad Kotaite Graduate and Postdoctoral Fellowship Fund.

APPENDIX E

Adoption of national legislation on certain offences committed on board civil aircraft (unruly/disruptive passengers)

The Assembly:

Recognizing that, under the Preamble and Article 44 of the Convention on International Civil Aviation, one of the aims and objectives of the Organization is to foster the planning and development of international air transport so as to meet the needs of the peoples of the world for safe, regular, efficient and economical air transport;

Noting the increase of the number and gravity of reported incidents involving unruly or disruptive passengers on board civil aircraft;

Considering the implications of these incidents for the safety of the aircraft and the passengers and crew on board these aircraft;

Mindful of the fact that the existing international law as well as national law and regulations in many States are not fully adequate to deal effectively with this problem;

Recognizing the special environment of aircraft in flight and inherent risks connected therewith, as well as the need to adopt adequate measures of national law for the purpose of enabling States to prosecute criminal acts and offences constituting unruly or disruptive behaviour on board aircraft;

Encouraging the adoption of national legal rules enabling States to exercise jurisdiction in appropriate cases to prosecute criminal acts and offences constituting unruly or disruptive behaviour on board aircraft registered in other States;

Noting that States at the International Conference on Air Law held in Montréal adopted on 4 April 2014 a Protocol to Amend the Convention on Offences and Certain Other Acts Committed on Board Aircraft and that the Conference decided not to include a list of offences and other acts within the Protocol but recommended that ICAO Circular 288 – Guidance Material on the Legal Aspects of Unruly/Disruptive Passengers published in 2002 be updated;

Therefore:

Urges all Contracting States to enact as soon as possible national law and regulations to deal effectively with the problem of unruly or disruptive passengers, incorporating so far as practical the provisions set out below;

Calls on all Contracting States to submit to their competent authorities for consideration of prosecution all persons whom they have a reasonable ground to consider as having committed any of the offences set out in the national laws and regulations so enacted, and for which they have jurisdiction in accordance with these laws and regulations;

Requests the Council to encourage the Task Force on Legal Aspects of Unruly Passengers to continue its work, including the review of the contents of the Model Legislation on Certain Offences Committed on Board Civil Aircraft found in this Appendix and to report to the 37th Session of the Legal Committee; and

Decides to review this Appendix at its next ordinary session on the basis of the outcome of the work of the said Task Force.

Model Legislation on Certain Offences Committed on Board Civil Aircraft

Section 1: Assault and Other Acts of Interference against a Crew Member on Board a Civil Aircraft

Any person who commits on board a civil aircraft any of the following acts thereby commits an offence:

- 1) assault, intimidation or threat, whether physical or verbal, against a crew member if such act interferes with the performance of the duties of the crew member or lessens the ability of the crew member to perform those duties;
- 2) refusal to follow a lawful instruction given by the aircraft commander, or on behalf of the aircraft commander by a crew member, for the purpose of ensuring the safety of the aircraft or of any person or property on board or for the purpose of maintaining good order and discipline on board.

Section 2: Assault and Other Acts Endangering Safety or Jeopardizing Good Order and Discipline on Board a Civil Aircraft

- 1) Any person who commits on board a civil aircraft an act of physical violence against a person or of sexual assault or child molestation thereby commits an offence.
- 2) Any person who commits on board a civil aircraft any of the following acts thereby commits an offence if such act is likely to endanger the safety of the aircraft or of any person on board or if such act jeopardizes the good order and discipline on board the aircraft:
 - a) assault, intimidation or threat, whether physical or verbal, against another person;
 - b) intentionally causing damage to, or destruction of, property;
 - c) consuming alcoholic beverages or drugs resulting in intoxication.

Section 3: Other Offences Committed on Board a Civil Aircraft

Any person who commits on board a civil aircraft any of the following acts thereby commits an offence:

- 1) smoking in a lavatory, or smoking elsewhere in a manner likely to endanger the safety of the aircraft;
- 2) tampering with a smoke detector or any other safety-related device on board the aircraft;
- 3) operating a portable electronic device when such act is prohibited.

Section 4: Jurisdiction

- 1. The jurisdiction of (*Name of State*) shall extend to any offence under Sections 1, 2, or 3 of this Act if the act constituting the offence took place on board:
 - 1) any civil aircraft registered in (Name of State); or
 - 2) any civil aircraft leased with or without crew to an operator whose principal place of business is in (*Name of State*) or, if the operator does not have a principal place of business, whose permanent residence is in (*Name of State*); or
 - 3) any civil aircraft on or over the territory of (Name of State); or
 - 4) any other civil aircraft in flight outside (*Name of State*), if
 - a) the next landing of the aircraft is in (*Name of State*); and
 - b) the aircraft commander has delivered the suspected offender to the competent authorities of (*Name of State*), with the request that the authorities prosecute the suspected offender and with the affirmation that no similar request has been or will be made by the commander or the operator to any other State.
- 2. The term "in flight" as used in this section means the period from the moment when power is applied for the purpose of takeoff until the moment when the landing run ends.

APPENDIX F

A Practical Way Forward on Legal and Institutional Aspects of Communications, Navigation, Surveillance/Air Traffic Management (CNS/ATM) Systems

Whereas the global implementation of Communications, Navigation, Surveillance/Air Traffic Management (CNS/ATM) systems, which, *inter alia*, is intended to provide safety-critical services for aircraft navigation, has made substantial progress since its inception at the 10th Air Navigation Conference in 1991 and received enthusiastic endorsement at the 11th Air Navigation Conference in 2003;

Whereas the existing legal framework for CNS/ATM systems, namely the Chicago Convention, its Annexes, Assembly Resolutions (especially including the Charter of GNSS Rights and Obligations), associated ICAO guidance (especially including the Statement of ICAO Policy on CNS/ATM Systems Implementation and Operation), regional navigation plans, and exchanges of letters between ICAO and the States operating satellite navigation constellations has enabled the technical implementation achieved thus far;

Whereas ICAO has devoted substantial resources to the study of the legal and institutional aspects of CNS/ATM systems in the ICAO Assembly, the Council, the Legal Committee, and a Panel of Legal and Technical Experts and a Study Group, building a detailed record and developing an understanding of the issues, challenges, and concerns facing the global community; and

Whereas there is a need to also consider regional initiatives to develop measures addressing any legal or institutional issues that could inhibit the implementation of CNS/ATM in the region, while ensuring that such mechanisms will be consistent with the Chicago Convention:

The Assembly:

- 1. *Recognizes* the importance of Item No. 4 of the General Work Programme of the Legal Committee "Consideration, with regard to CNS/ATM systems including global navigation satellite systems (GNSS) and the regional multinational organisms, of the establishment of a legal framework", and resolutions or decisions by the Assembly and the Council relating to it;
- 2. *Reaffirms* that there is no need to amend the Chicago Convention for the implementation of CNS/ATM systems;
- 3. *Invites* Contracting States to also consider using regional organizations to develop mechanisms necessary to address any legal or institutional issues that could inhibit the implementation of CNS/ATM in the region, while ensuring that such mechanisms will be consistent with the Chicago Convention, and public international law;
- 4. *Encourages* the facilitation of technical assistance in implementation of CNS/ATM systems by ICAO, regional organizations and industry;
- 5. *Invites* Contracting States, other multilateral agencies and private financiers to consider development of additional sources of funding for assistance to States and regional groups in implementation of CNS/ATM;
- 6. *Directs* the Secretary General to monitor and, where appropriate, assist in the development of contractual frameworks to which parties may accede, *inter alia*, on the basis of the structure and model proposed by the Members of the European Civil Aviation Conference and the other regional civil aviation commissions, and on international law;
 - 7. Invites the Contracting States to transmit regional initiatives to the Council; and
- 8. *Directs* the Council to register such regional initiatives, to consider their value and to make them public as soon as possible (in accordance with Articles 54, 55 and 83 of the Chicago Convention).

Agenda Item 47: Other issues to be considered by the Legal Commission

Following up on the merits to further promote the ratification of the Montréal Convention of 1999, in its presentation of A39-WP/120, IATA recalled the significant benefits for passengers, shippers and other stakeholders established by virtue of said instrument. The observer noted that despite the good progress that had been made in the number of ratifications since the previous Assembly there were still 72 Member States that had yet to ratify the instrument, including states in growing markets and regions. The observer stated that the absence of a single, universal liability regime for international carriage by air left an undesirable patchwork of differing legal regimes, and it was therefore necessary to renew the efforts to urge all Member States that have not done so, to ratify the Montréal Convention of 1999 at the earliest opportunity. Echoing the sentiment that had been expressed during the consideration of A39-WP/100 and the draft resolution contained therein (paragraph 45:8), all delegations which took the floor welcomed the proactive approach taken by IATA on this subject and reiterated the importance of a universal regime.

- 47:2 In addition to the discussion in paragraph 45:7 and the following, IATA presented A39-WP/139, which called upon all States to ratify the Montréal Protocol of 2014. A number of delegations supported the proposals of IATA. Their States had either ratified the Protocol or were in the process of doing so. Some delegations said that their States were unlikely ever to become parties to the Protocol.
- One delegation mentioned that while it was always willing to join the consensus on ratification of air law instruments under the auspices of ICAO, it was disappointed by the results of the Protocol. The treaty neither effectively modernized the Tokyo Convention, nor undertook any meaningful steps to deal with the issues of unruly passengers. It not only failed to provide appropriate law-enforcement authority to in-flight security officers, it gave them less authority to respond to certain categories of incidents than that already enjoyed by passengers. Accordingly, its State was unlikely to ratify the Protocol. Supporting this delegation, another delegation mentioned that although it agreed with the principles of the Protocol it was not satisfied in this respect. Therefore, it did not support the ratification.
- Some delegations stated that the results of the Protocol reflect the compromise among a variety of issues. One delegation specified that while the Protocol was not as robust as it should be, it nevertheless represented good efforts to enhance the legal framework against unruly behaviour. While understanding the difficulties of some States to accept the Protocol, it still believed that there would be more advantages bringing the treaty into effect.
- The delegation of France presented A39-WP/159 by outlining the obligations of Contracting States under Article 21 of the Chicago Convention for the sharing of information on ownership of aircraft registered in a State. The delegation recalled State Letter AN 11/47-10/67 regarding the implementation of a web-based system for the provision of pertinent data concerning aircraft registered in a State pursuant to Article 21. It was noted that Contracting States encountered varying degrees of difficulty in complying with their obligations under Article 21, with the result being that even information provided through the web-based system was incomplete and unreliable. Noting that the air transport landscape as it related to changes in aircraft ownership and registration had vastly changed since Article 21 was drafted, the delegation suggested that Contracting States could benefit from clarification and alignment of the definition of ownership and related concepts. In proposing that there be a clarification of, and not an amendment to, the Chicago Convention in this regard, the delegation averred that there was to be no constraint on the freedom of Contracting States to manage their respective domestic registration procedures. The delegation concluded by proposing that the Legal Commission recommend this topic for inclusion on the General Work Programme of the Legal Committee.
- All delegations which took the floor supported A39-WP/159, and were in favour of including this item on the General Work Programme of the Legal Committee. A number of delegations identified the difficulties highlighted in the working paper. For instance, one delegation mentioned that in its domestic law, the term "owner" refers to a person who is responsible for the operation and maintenance of an aircraft. Some delegations were of the view that more effective implementation of Article 21 obligations would promote transparency, improve traceability over the lifetime of aircraft and streamline procedures for transfer of ownership, all of which would benefit the industry.
- 47:7 A representative from the Air Navigation Bureau explained that the web-based system was already functioning although it posed a challenge for some States, and was being reviewed to facilitate usability. The Bureau welcomed feedback on improving the system.

- 47:8 One observer supported A39-WP/159 on the basis that it could alleviate the regulatory burden on airlines, and recommended coordination of efforts with the work undertaken by the Technical Commission relating to A39-WP/237 on the Facilitation of the Cross-Border Transferability Process. This recommendation was supported by one delegation who added that if the item were to be included in the General Work Programme of the Legal Committee, it would be important to appropriately reference all ongoing or completed work that may be relevant to a clarification of ownership and related concepts.
- 47:9 The Commission thereafter unanimously supported the addition of the subject "Implementation of Article 21 of the Chicago Convention" as a new Item 8 to the General Work Programme of the Legal Committee. Accordingly, the Commission established the General Work Programme as follows:
 - 1) Study of legal issues relating to remotely piloted aircraft;
 - 2) Consideration of guidance on conflicts of interest;
 - 3) Acts or offences of concern to the international aviation community and not covered by existing air law instruments;
 - 4) Consideration, with regard to CNS/ATM systems including global navigation satellite systems (GNSS), and the regional multinational organisms, of the establishment of a legal framework;
 - 5) Determination of the status of an aircraft civil/State;
 - 6) Promotion of the ratification of international air law instruments;
 - 7) Safety aspects of economic liberalization and Article 83 bis; and
 - 8) Implementation of Article 21 of the Chicago Convention.
- Relating to paragraph 45:3 above and concerning the item "Remotely Piloted Aircraft Systems Legal Definition", Brazil presented WP/229, highlighting the problem faced by Brazil in applying ICAO Standards and Recommended Practices (SARPs) relative to remotely piloted aircraft systems (RPAS) due to the definition of "aircraft" in Brazil's national legislation being at variance with the definition of "aircraft" in Annex 7 to the Chicago Convention, as illustrative of the types of problems States were facing with respect to legal regulation of RPAS, and thus calling for the issue of the definition of RPAS to be included on the Work Programme of the Legal Committee, while taking into account the conclusions and developments of the RPAS Panel.
- A number of delegations shared the concerns expressed by Brazil in WP/229, with one delegation offering the view that several different concepts, such as "pilotless aircraft", "drone" and "UAV", are used in discussions and regulatory work related to RPAS and that it is very important to be clear about the type of aircraft covered in each case. Another of these delegations noted particularly the possible need for work by the Legal Committee to address other topics related to RPAS operations, such as navigation rules, aircraft nationality and registered marks, and accident investigations, as was pointed out in paragraph 2.2 of the paper.

- 47:12 The Chairman of the Legal Committee then took the floor to remind delegates that the subject of "Study of legal issues relating to remotely piloted aircraft", which comprises all legal definitional issues, was already on the General Work Programme of the Legal Committee, with the highest priority as item 1. He also reminded delegates of the survey on RPAS legal issues that was distributed to all Member States in August 2016 and encouraged States to respond and to raise definitional issues, like those presented by Brazil in A39-WP/229, or any other legal issues related to RPAS that they wished to put before the Legal Committee.
- Several delegations that thereafter took the floor supported the concept of including the issue of the definition of RPAS on the General Work Programme of the Legal Committee, but highlighted the importance of the element proposed by Brazil in A39-WP/229; i.e., that the conclusions and developments of the RPAS Panel be taken into account. One delegation then noted that while the matter of definitions is naturally of particular interest to lawyers, the definition of aircraft contained in Annex 7 to the Chicago Convention predates the establishment of ICAO and underpins the more than 10,000 SARPs contained in all of the Annexes. This delegation emphasized that those same SARPs are the basis for the civil aviation legislation of many States, and that it was therefore important to be mindful of the potentially far-reaching impact that any changes to the longstanding definition of "aircraft" could have on the existing ICAO regulatory structure. Another delegation pointed out that Annexes 2, 7 and 13 were relevant and should be analyzed to determine whether the definition of RPAS as aircraft is already adequately addressed.
- 47:14 The remaining delegations that took the floor generally offered strong support and appreciation for the paper presented by Brazil, but a significant number of these underscored that the issue of the definition of RPAS was already included on the General Work Programme of the Legal Committee under item 1, or should be considered as being included in this item. In conclusion, the Legal Commission took note of the intent of the working paper which could enrich the discussions currently ongoing with regard to this matter in the Legal Committee. Some delegations said that any work done by the Legal Committee should be carefully coordinated with the technical bodies to avoid duplication of effort.
- 47:15 A39-WP/251 presented by Brazil drew the attention of the Assembly to possible concerns some States might have in complying with Article 12 due to the perceived lack of procedural rules and its impact for civil aviation safety. The Commission noted the paper and encouraged Member States to strengthen the enforcement of Article 12.
- 47:16 In conjunction with paragraph 45:7 above, the Commission also noted A39-WP/293 presented by Colombia on behalf of Guatemala and referred this paper to the Task Force on Legal Aspects of Unruly Passengers. It was noted by a delegation and an observer that the experience of some States in applying administrative sanctions against unruly behaviour could serve as useful reference. The observer remarked that administrative sanctions should be considered a vitally important tool, given their deterrent value, and a practical complement to the Tokyo Convention regime.
- 47:17 The Chairman of the Legal Committee mentioned to the Commission that he and the Vice-Chairs of the Committee had met with the Secretariat to discuss the ongoing work in relation to the items on the Work Programme, in anticipation of the next meeting of the Committee. A brief report of the meeting could be made available for States.

APPENDIX

LIST OF DOCUMENTS AND WORKING PAPERS CONSIDERED BY THE LEGAL COMMISSION

Working Papers by Agenda Item

Legal Commission (LE)

(FR) (SP) (RU) (AR) (ZH)

Item 44: Annual Reports of the Council to the Assembly for 2013, 2014 and 2015

A39-WP/464	DRAFT TEXT FOR THE REPORT ON AGENDA	(EN)
LE/15	ITEMS 44 & 45	(LIV)

(29/09/2016)

Item 45: Work programme of the Organization in the legal field

A39-WP/12 LE/1	WORK PROGRAMME OF THE ORGANIZATION IN THE LEGAL FIELD (06/06/2016)	EN FR SP RU AR ZH
A39-WP/77 LE/3	CONFLICTS OF INTEREST IN CIVIL AVIATION (05/08/2016)	EN FR SP RU AR ZH
A39-WP/77 Revision No. 1 LE/3	CONFLICTS OF INTEREST IN CIVIL AVIATION (17/08/2016)	EN FR SP RU AR ZH
A39-WP/100 LE/4	PROMOTION OF THE CONVENTION FOR THE UNIFICATION OF CERTAIN RULES FOR INTERNATIONAL CARRIAGE BY AIR DONE AT MONTREAL ON 28 MAY 1999 (MONTREAL CONVENTION OF 1999) (18/07/2016)	EN FR SP RU AR ZH

A39-WP/101 LE/5	PROMOTION OF THE CONVENTION ON THE SUPPRESSION OF UNLAWFUL ACTS RELATING TO INTERNATIONAL CIVIL AVIATION DONE AT BEIJING 10 SEPTEMBER 2010 (BEIJING CONVENTION OF 2010) AND THE PROTOCOL SUPPLEMENTARY TO THE CONVENTION FOR THE SUPPRESSION OF UNLAWFUL SEIZURE OF AIRCRAFT DONE AT BEIJING 10 SEPTEMBER 2010 (BEIJING PROTOCOL OF 2010) (20/07/2016)	EN FR SP RU AR ZH
A39-WP/228 LE/9	A PROPOSAL FOR A LEGAL SEMINAR IN THE ASIA-PACIFIC REGION (09/08/2016)	EN FR SP RU AR ZH
A39-WP/375 LE/13	CAPE TOWN CONVENTION (09/08/2016)	EN FR SP RU AR ZH
A39-WP/422 LE/14	THE INTERNATIONAL REGISTRY AFTER 10 YEARS—CIVIL AVIATION'S GREAT SUCCESS STORY (13/09/2016) *Information Paper	EN
A39-WP/464 LE/15	DRAFT TEXT FOR THE REPORT ON AGENDA ITEMS 44 & 45 (29/09/2016)	EN FR SP RU AR ZH
Item 46: Conso	olidated statement of continuing ICAO policies in the leg	al field
A39-WP/57 LE/2	CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CONTINUING ICAO POLICIES IN THE LEGAL FIELD (09/06/2016)	EN FR SP RU AR ZH
A39-WP/482 LE/17	DRAFT TEXT FOR THE REPORT ON AGENDA ITEMS 46 & 47 (30/09/2016)	EN FR SP RU AR ZH
Item 47: Other	issues to be considered by the Legal Commission	
A39-WP/77 LE/3	CONFLICTS OF INTEREST IN CIVIL AVIATION (05/08/2016)	EN FR SP RU AR ZH
A39-WP/77 Revision No. 1 LE/3	CONFLICTS OF INTEREST IN CIVIL AVIATION (17/08/2016)	EN FR SP RU AR ZH
A39-WP/120 LE/6	PROMOTION OF THE CONVENTION FOR THE UNIFICATION OF CERTAIN RULES FOR INTERNATIONAL CARRIAGE BY AIR (MONTREAL CONVENTION OF 1999) (27/07/2016)	EN FR SP RU AR ZH

A39-WP/139 LE/7	PROMOTION OF THE PROTOCOL TO AMEND THE CONVENTION ON OFFENSES AND CERTAIN OTHER ACTS COMMITTED ON BOARD AIRCRAFT DONE AT MONTREAL ON 4 APRIL 2014 (MONTREAL PROTOCOL 2014) (04/08/2016)	EN FR SP RU AR ZH
A39-WP/159 TE/76; LE/8	IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE 21 OF THE CHICAGO CONVENTION (09/08/2016)	EN FR SP RU AR ZH
A39-WP/159 Revision No. 1 TE/76; LE/8	IMPLEMENTATION OF ARTICLE 21 OF THE CHICAGO CONVENTION (23/08/2016)	EN FR SP RU AR ZH
A39-WP/229 LE/10	REMOTELY-PILOTED AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS LEGAL DEFINITION (09/08/2016)	EN FR SP RU AR ZH
A39-WP/229 Corrigendum No. 1 LE/10	REMOTELY-PILOTED AIRCRAFT SYSTEMS LEGAL DEFINITION (17/08/2016)	EN
A39-WP/251 LE/11	COMMON GUIDELINES ON ARTICLE 12 OF CHICAGO CONVENTION ENFORCEMENT OF VIOLATIONS COMMITTED BY FOREIGN AIR CARRIERS (09/08/2016)	EN FR SP RU AR ZH
A39-WP/293 LE/12	SANCTIONS FOR DISRUPTIVE PASSENGERS (05/08/2016)	EN FR SP RU AR ZH
A39-WP/482 LE/17	DRAFT TEXT FOR THE REPORT ON AGENDA ITEMS 46 & 47 (30/09/2016)	EN FR SP RU AR ZH

